

TOWN OF NORTHBRIDGE
STATE ELECTION - NOVEMBER 6, 2018

TOTAL VOTERS 7049				
----------------------	--	--	--	--

Precinct 1 1697	Precinct 2 1718	Precinct 3 1483	Precinct 4 2151
--------------------	--------------------	--------------------	--------------------

Total Vote
7049

	Prec. 1	Prec. 2	Prec. 3	Prec. 4	Total Vote
SENATOR IN CONGRESS					
	(vote for one)				
Blanks	37	36	25	47	145
Elizabeth A. Warren	757	732	630	808	2927
Geoff Diehl	831	884	759	1229	3703
Shiva Ayyadurai	72	65	68	65	270
All Others	0	1	1	2	4
Total	1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
GOVERNOR and LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR					
	(vote for one)				
Blanks	43	38	47	63	191
Baker and Polito	1369	1368	1175	1783	5695
Gonzalez and Palfrey	281	308	259	305	1153
All Others	4	4	2	0	10
Total	1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
ATTORNEY GENERAL					
	(vote for one)				
Blanks	42	47	38	72	199
Maura Healey	934	930	760	1066	3690
James R. McMahon, III	720	741	685	1013	3159
All Others	1	0	0	0	1
Total	1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
SECRETARY OF STATE					
	(vote for one)				
Blanks	74	61	50	96	281
William Francis Galvin	957	972	820	1144	3893
Anthony M. Amore	621	654	582	872	2729
Juan G. Sanchez, Jr.	45	31	31	38	145
All Others	0	0	0	1	1
Total	1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
TREASURER					
	(vote for one)				
Blanks	99	98	79	136	412
Deborah B. Goldberg	886	900	740	1037	3563
Keiko M. Orrall	647	681	615	927	2870
Jamie M. Guerin	63	39	49	51	202
All Others	2	0	0	0	2
Total	1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
AUDITOR					
	(vote for one)				
Blanks	121	112	86	159	478
Suzanne M. Bump	795	789	668	907	3159
Helen Brady	669	719	623	976	2987
Daniel Fishman	78	72	78	71	299
Edward J. Stamas	33	26	28	38	125
All Others	1	0	0	0	1
Total	1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS					
	Second District				
	(vote for one)				
Blanks	70	64	50	104	288
James P. McGovern	925	901	778	1009	3613
Tracy Lyn Lowvorn	701	753	655	1037	3146
All Others	1	0	0	1	2
Total	1697	1718	1483	2151	7049

			COUNCILLOR					
			Seventh District					
			(vote for one)					
Blanks			99	97	81	143		420
Jennie L. Caissie			976	983	879	1343		4181
Paul M. DePalo			622	638	523	665		2448
All Others			0	0	0	0		0
Total			1697	1718	1483	2151		7049
			SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT					
			Worcester & Norfolk District (Precincts 1 & 3)					
			(vote for one)					
Blanks			59	XXX	33	XXX		92
Ryan C. Fattman			995	XXX	927	XXX		1922
Thomas M. Merolli			643	XXX	523	XXX		1166
All Others			0	XXX	0	XXX		0
Total			1697	XXX	1483	XXX		3180
			SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT					
			Second Worcester District (Precincts 2 & 4)					
			(vote for one)					
Blanks			XXX	474	XXX	672		1146
Michael O. Moore			XXX	1234	XXX	1469		2703
All Others			XXX	10	XXX	10		20
Total			XXX	1718	XXX	2151		3869
			REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT					
			Ninth Worcester District					
			(vote for one)					
Blanks			348	379	259	421		1407
David K. Muradian, Jr.			1347	1334	1216	1726		5623
All Others			2	5	8	4		19
Total			1697	1718	1483	2151		7049
			DISTRICT ATTORNEY					
			Middle District					
			(vote for one)					
Blanks			122	151	99	239		611
Joseph D. Early, Jr.			1060	1034	876	1256		4226
Blake J. Rubin			514	532	506	655		2207
All Others			1	1	2	1		5
Total			1697	1718	1483	2151		7049
			CLERK OF COURTS					
			Worcester County					
			(vote for one)					
Blanks			131	128	76	186		521
Dennis P. McManus			878	860	750	992		3480
Joanne E. Powell			688	730	657	973		3048
All Others			0	0	0	0		0
Total			1697	1718	1483	2151		7049
			REGISTER OF DEEDS					
			Worcester District					
			(vote for one)					
Blanks			117	115	76	171		479
Kate D. Campanale			858	890	805	1203		3756
Kathryn A. Toomey			722	713	602	776		2813
All Others			0	0	0	1		1
Total			1697	1718	1483	2151		7049
			REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - BELLINGHAM					
			(vote for one)					
Blanks			519	577	466	756		2318
Joseph M. Hall			1177	1140	1015	1392		4724
All Others			1	1	2	3		7
Total			1697	1718	1483	2151		7049
			REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - BLACKSTONE					
			(vote for one)					
Blanks			542	579	489	759		2369
Joseph A. Broderick			1154	1138	993	1388		4673
All Others			1	1	1	4		7
Total			1697	1718	1483	2151		7049

		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - DOUGLAS				
		(vote for one)				
Blanks		583	633	511	831	2558
John C. Lavin, III		1113	1083	968	1319	4483
All Others		1	2	4	1	8
Total		1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - GRAFTON				
		(vote for one)				
Blanks		621	655	557	867	2700
Anthony M. Yifits		1075	1062	925	1283	4345
All Others		1	1	1	1	4
Total		1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - HOPEDALE				
		(vote for one)				
Blanks		638	674	561	885	2758
Mitchell A. Intinarelli		1059	1043	921	1265	4288
All Others		0	1	1	1	3
Total		1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - MENDON				
		(vote for one)				
Blanks		641	689	571	898	2799
Dennis P. Braun		1056	1028	911	1252	4247
All Others		0	1	1	1	3
Total		1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - MILFORD				
		(vote for one)				
Blanks		659	706	574	916	2855
Paul J. Braza		1038	1010	908	1233	4189
All Others		0	2	1	2	5
Total		1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - MILLBURY				
		(vote for one)				
Blanks		661	710	588	926	2885
Chester P. Hanratty, Jr.		1036	1007	894	1223	4160
All Others		0	1	1	2	4
Total		1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - MILLVILLE				
		(vote for one)				
Blanks		669	728	590	933	2920
Gerald M. Finn		1028	989	891	1217	4125
All Others		0	1	2	1	4
Total		1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - NORTHBRIDGE				
		(vote for one)				
Blanks		514	574	435	700	2223
Jeff T. Koopman		1183	1143	1044	1449	4819
All Others		0	1	4	2	7
Total		1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - SUTTON				
		(vote for one)				
Blanks		660	717	586	914	2877
Julie H. Mitchell		1037	1000	895	1236	4168
All Others		0	1	2	1	4
Total		1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - UPTON				
		(vote for one)				
Blanks		671	717	588	920	2896
David R. Bartlett		1025	1000	893	1230	4148
All Others		1	1	2	1	5
Total		1697	1718	1483	2151	7049
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - UXBRIDGE				
		(vote for one)				
Blanks		636	708	555	892	2791
James H. Ebbeling		1061	1009	925	1257	4252
All Others		0	1	3	2	6
Total		1697	1718	1483	2151	7049

QUESTION 1: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would limit how many patients could be assigned to each registered nurse in Massachusetts hospitals and certain other health care facilities. The maximum number of patients per registered nurse would vary by type of unit and level of care, as follows:
In units with step-down/intermediate care patients: 3 patients per nurse;
In units with post-anesthesia care or operating room patients: 1 patient under anesthesia per nurse; 2 patients post-anesthesia per nurse;
In the emergency services department: 1 critical or intensive care patient per nurse (or 2 if the nurse has assessed each patient's condition as stable); 2 urgent non-stable patients per nurse; 3 urgent stable patients per nurse; or 5 non-urgent stable patients per nurse;
In units with maternity patients: (a) active labor patients: 1 patient per nurse; (b) during birth and for up to two hours immediately postpartum: 1 mother per nurse and 1 baby per nurse; (c) when the condition of the mother and baby are determined to be stable: 1 mother and her baby or babies per nurse; (d) postpartum: 6 patients per nurse; (e) intermediate care or continuing care babies: 2 babies per nurse; (f) well-babies: 6 babies per nurse;
In units with pediatric, medical, surgical, telemetry, or observational/outpatient treatment patients, or any other unit: 4 patients per nurse; and
In units with psychiatric or rehabilitation patients: 5 patients per nurse.
The proposed law would require a covered facility to comply with the patient assignment limits without reducing its level of nursing, service, maintenance, clerical, professional, and other staff.
The proposed law would also require every covered facility to develop a written patient acuity tool for each unit to evaluate the condition of each patient. This tool would be used by nurses in deciding whether patient limits should be lower than the limits of the proposed law at any given time.
The proposed law would not override any contract in effect on January 1, 2019 that set higher patient limits.
The proposed law's limits would take effect after any such contract expired.

The state Health Policy Commission would be required to promulgate regulations to implement the proposed law. The Commission could conduct inspections to ensure compliance with the law. Any facility receiving written notice from the Commission of a complaint or a violation would be required to submit a written compliance plan to the Commission. The Commission could report violations to the state Attorney General, who could file suit to obtain a civil penalty of up to \$25,000 per violation as well as up to \$25,000 for each day a violation continued after the Commission notified the covered facility of the violation. The Health Policy Commission would be required to establish a toll-free telephone number for complaints and a website where complaints, compliance plans, and violations would appear.
The proposed law would prohibit discipline or retaliation against any employee for complying with the patient assignment limits of the law. The proposed law would require every covered facility to post within each unit, patient room, and waiting area a notice explaining the patient limits and how to report violations. Each day of a facility's non-compliance with the posting requirement would be punishable by a civil penalty between \$250 and \$2,500.

The proposed law's requirements would be suspended during a state or nationally declared public health emergency.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

A YES VOTE would limit the number of patients that could be assigned to one registered nurse in hospitals and certain other health care facilities.

A NO VOTE would make no change in current laws relative to patient-to-nurse limits.

Blanks			41	32	36	40	149
Yes			388	425	346	477	1636
No			1268	1261	1101	1634	5264
Total			1697	1718	1483	2151	7049

QUESTION 2: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 2, 2018?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would create a citizens commission to consider and recommend potential amendments to the United States Constitution to establish that corporations do not have the same Constitutional rights as human beings and that campaign contributions and expenditures may be regulated.

Any resident of Massachusetts who is a United States citizen would be able to apply for appointment to the 15-member commission, and members would serve without compensation. The Governor, the Secretary of the Commonwealth, the state Attorney General, the Speaker of the state House of Representatives, and the President of the state Senate would each appoint three members of the commission and, in making these appointments, would seek to ensure that the commission reflects a range of geographic, political, and demographic backgrounds.

The commission would be required to research and take testimony, and then issue a report regarding (1) the impact of political spending in Massachusetts; (2) any limitations on the state's ability to regulate corporations and other entities in light of Supreme Court decisions that allow corporations to assert certain constitutional rights; (3) recommendations for constitutional amendments; (4) an analysis of constitutional amendments introduced to Congress; and (5) recommendations for advancing proposed amendments to the United States Constitution.

The commission would be subject to the state Open Meeting Law and Public Records Law. The commission's first report would be due December 31, 2019, and the Secretary of the Commonwealth would be required to deliver the commission's report to the state Legislature, the United States Congress, and the President of the United States.

The proposed law states that, if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect. The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2019.

A YES VOTE would create a citizens commission to advance an amendment to the United States Constitution to limit the influence of money in elections and establish that corporations do not have the same rights as human beings.

A NO VOTE would not create this commission.

Blanks				93	83	74	108		358
Yes				1049	1019	893	1303		4264
No				555	616	516	740		2427
Total				1697	1718	1483	2151		7049

QUESTION 3: REFERENDUM ON AN EXISTING LAW

Do you approve of a law summarized below, which was approved by the House of Representatives and the Senate on July 7, 2016?

SUMMARY

This law adds gender identity to the list of prohibited grounds for discrimination in places of public accommodation, resort, or amusement. Such grounds also include race, color, religious creed, national origin, sex, disability, and ancestry. A "place of public accommodation, resort or amusement" is defined in existing law as any place that is open to and accepts or solicits the patronage of the general public, such as hotels, stores, restaurants, theaters, sports facilities, and hospitals. "Gender identity" is defined as a person's sincerely held gender-related identity, appearance, or behavior, whether or not it is different from that traditionally associated with the person's physiology or assigned sex at birth.

This law prohibits discrimination based on gender identity in a person's admission to or treatment in any place of public accommodation. The law requires any such place that has separate areas for males and females (such as restrooms) to allow access to and full use of those areas consistent with a person's gender identity. The law also prohibits the owner or manager of a place of public accommodation from using advertising or signage that discriminates on the basis of gender identity.

This law directs the state Commission Against Discrimination to adopt rules or policies and make recommendations to carry out this law. The law also directs the state Attorney General to issue regulations or guidance on referring for legal action any person who asserts gender identity for an improper purpose. The provisions of this law governing access to places of public accommodation are effective as of October 1, 2016. The remaining provisions are effective as of July 8, 2016.

A YES VOTE would keep in place the current law, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of gender identity in places of public accommodation.

A NO VOTE would repeal this provision of the public accommodation law.

Blanks				67	53	55	80		255
Yes				952	1031	828	1200		4011
No				678	634	600	871		2783
Total				1697	1718	1483	2151		7049

QUESTION 4

Shall the Town of Northbridge be allowed to exempt from the provisions of Proposition two and one-half, so called, the amounts required to pay for the bonds issued in order to pay the costs for planning, designing, constructing, originally equipping, and furnishing the new W. Edward Balmer Elementary School, serving grades PreK-5 and located at 21 Crescent Street, Whitinsville, MA, and all costs incidental and related thereto, including construction administration and project management services?

Blanks				80	76	61	67		284
Yes				994	1042	941	1428		4405
No				623	600	481	656		2360
Total				1697	1718	1483	2151		7049

A TRUE COPY

ATTEST: 
Doreen A. Cedrone, CMC/CMMC
Town Clerk

ACTIVE VOTERS: 9,865
INACTIVE VOTERS: 1,320
TOTAL NUMBER OF REGISTERED VOTERS: 11,185
VOTED 11/6/2018: 7,049
PERCENTAGE VOTED 11/6/2018: 63%