TOWN OF NORTHBRIDGE
STATE ELECTION
Tuesday, November 8, 2016

TOTAL VOTERS:	8407

Prec. 1	Prec. 2	Prec. 3	Prec. 4
2116	**2103**	**1787**	**2401**

ELECTORS OF PRESIDENT & VICE PRESIDENT

	Prec. 1	Prec. 2	Prec. 3	Prec. 4	Total
Blanks	53	23	25	51	152
Clinton and Kaine	857	903	763	902	3425
Johnson and Weld	146	145	120	163	574
Stein and Baraka	27	26	21	30	104
Trump and Pence	994	950	832	1201	3977
McMullin and Johnson	7	2	2	18	29
Write In (All Others)	32	54	24	36	146
Total Vote	**2116**	**2103**	**1787**	**2401**	**8407**

REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS

Blanks	613	578	527	770	2488
James P. McGovern	1483	1506	1248	1610	5847
Write In (All Others)	20	19	12	21	72
Total Vote	**2116**	**2103**	**1787**	**2401**	**8407**

COUNCILLOR

Blanks	222	181	136	222	761
Jennie L. Caissie	1242	1259	1092	1563	5156
Matthew CJ Vance	651	661	549	614	2475
Write In (All Others)	1	2	10	2	15
Total Vote	**2116**	**2103**	**1787**	**2401**	**8407**

SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT
Precincts 1 & 3 - Worcester & Norfolk District

Blanks	527	XXX	374	XXX	901
Ryan C. Fattman	1578	XXX	1407	XXX	2985
Richard T. Moore	4	XXX	1	XXX	5
Write In (All Others)	7	XXX	5	XXX	12
Total Vote	**2116**	XXX	**1787**	XXX	**3903**

SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT
Precincts 2 & 4 - Second Worcester District

Blanks	XXX	225	XXX	277	502
Michael O. Moore	XXX	1251	XXX	1303	2554
Mesfin H. Beshir	XXX	627	XXX	820	1447
Write In (All Others)	XXX	0	XXX	1	1
Total Vote	XXX	**2103**	XXX	**2401**	**4504**

REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT

Blanks	443	418	311	438	1610
David K. Muradian, Jr.	1666	1679	1468	1957	6770
Write In (All Others)	7	6	8	6	27
Total Vote	**2116**	**2103**	**1787**	**2401**	**8407**

SHERIFF

Blanks	521	485	395	538	1939
Lewis G. Evangelidis	1587	1614	1382	1857	6440
Write In (All Others)	8	4	10	6	28
Total Vote	**2116**	**2103**	**1787**	**2401**	**8407**

QUESTION 1: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow the state Gaming Commission to issue one additional category 2 license, which would permit operation of a gaming establishment with no table games and not more than 1,250 slot machines.

The proposed law would authorize the Commission to request applications for the additional license to be granted to a gaming establishment located on property that is (i) at least four acres in size; (ii) adjacent to and within 1,500 feet of a race track, including the track's additional facilities, such as the track, grounds, paddocks, barns, auditorium, amphitheatre, and bleachers; (iii) where a horse racing meeting may physically be held; (iv) where a horse racing meeting shall have been hosted; and (v) not separated from the race track by a highway or railway.

A YES VOTE would permit the state Gaming Commission to license one additional slot-machine gaming establishment at a location that meets certain conditions specified in the law.

A NO VOTE would make no change in current laws regarding gaming.

	Prec. 1	Prec. 2	Prec. 3	Prec. 4	
Blanks	138	87	74	92	391
YES	942	958	820	1026	3746
NO	1036	1058	893	1283	4270
Total	**2116**	**2103**	**1787**	**2401**	**8407**

QUESTION 2: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would allow the state Board of Elementary and Secondary Education to approve up to 12 new charter schools or enrollment expansions in existing charter schools each year. Approvals under this law could expand statewide charter school enrollment by up to 1% of the total statewide public school enrollment each year. New charters and enrollment expansions approved under this law would be exempt from existing limits on the number of charter schools, the number of students enrolled in them, and the amount of local school districts' spending allocated to them.

If the Board received more than 12 applications in a single year from qualified applicants, then the proposed law would require it to give priority to proposed charter schools or enrollment expansions in districts where student performance on statewide assessments is in the bottom 25% of all districts in the previous two years and where demonstrated parent demand for additional public school options is greatest.

New charter schools and enrollment expansions approved under this proposed law would be subject to the same approval standards as other charter schools, and to recruitment, retention, and multilingual outreach requirements that currently apply to some charter schools. Schools authorized under this law would be subject to annual performance reviews according to standards established by the Board.

The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2017.

A YES VOTE would allow for up to 12 approvals each year of either new charter schools or expanded enrollments in existing charter schools, but not to exceed 1% of the statewide public school enrollment.

A NO VOTE would make no change in current laws relative to charter schools.

	Prec. 1	Prec. 2	Prec. 3	Prec. 4	
Blanks	44	36	23	53	156
YES	787	778	634	872	3071
NO	1285	1289	1130	1476	5180
Total	**2116**	**2103**	**1787**	**2401**	**8407**

QUESTION 3: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016?

SUMMARY

This proposed law would prohibit any farm owner or operator from knowingly confining any breeding pig, calf raised for veal, or egg-laying hen in a way that prevents the animal from lying down, standing up, fully extending its limbs, or turning around freely. The proposed law would also prohibit any business owner or operator in Massachusetts from selling whole eggs intended for human consumption

or any uncooked cut of veal or pork if the business owner or operator knows or should know that the hen, breeding pig, or veal calf that produced these products was confined in a manner prohibited by the proposed law. The proposed law would exempt sales of food products that combine veal or pork with other products, including soups, sandwiches, pizzas, hotdogs, or similar processed or prepared food items.

The proposed law's confinement prohibitions would not apply during transportation; state and county fair exhibitions; 4-H programs; slaughter in compliance with applicable laws and regulations; medical research; veterinary exams, testing, treatment and operation if performed under the direct supervision of a licensed veterinarian; five days prior to a pregnant pig's expected date of giving birth; any day that pig is nursing piglets; and for temporary periods for animal husbandry purposes not to exceed six hours in any twenty-four hour period.

The proposed law would create a civil penalty of up to $1,000 for each violation and would give the Attorney General the exclusive authority to enforce the law, and to issue regulations to implement it. As a defense to enforcement proceedings, the proposed law would allow a business owner or operator to rely in good faith upon a written certification or guarantee of compliance by a supplier.

The proposed law would be in addition to any other animal welfare laws and would not prohibit stricter local laws.

The proposed law would take effect on January 1, 2022. The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would prohibit any confinement of pigs, calves, and hens that prevents them from lying down, standing up, fully extending their limbs, or turning around freely.

	Prec. 1	Prec. 2	Prec. 3	Prec. 4	
Blanks	39	44	30	50	163
YES	1586	1536	1333	1752	6207
NO	491	523	424	599	2037
Total	**2116**	**2103**	**1787**	**2401**	**8407**

QUESTION 4: Law Proposed by Initiative Petition

Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 3, 2016?

SUMMARY

The proposed law would permit the possession, use, distribution, and cultivation of marijuana in limited amounts by persons age 21 and older and would remove criminal penalties for such activities. It would provide for the regulation of commerce in marijuana, marijuana accessories, and marijuana products and for the taxation of proceeds from sales of these items.

The proposed law would authorize persons at least 21 years old to possess up to one ounce of marijuana outside of their residences; possess up to ten ounces of marijuana inside their residences; grow up to six marijuana plants in their residences; give one ounce or less of marijuana to a person at least 21 years old without payment; possess, produce or transfer hemp; or make or transfer items related to marijuana use, storage, cultivation, or processing.

The measure would create a Cannabis Control Commission of three members appointed by the state Treasurer which would generally administer the law governing marijuana use and distribution, promulgate regulations, and be responsible for the licensing of marijuana commercial establishments. The proposed law would also create a Cannabis Advisory Board of fifteen members appointed by the Governor. The Cannabis Control Commission would adopt regulations governing licensing qualifications; security; record keeping; health and safety standards; packaging and labeling; testing; advertising and displays; required inspections; and such other matters as the Commission considers appropriate. The records of the Commission would be public records.

The proposed law would authorize cities and towns to adopt reasonable restrictions on the time, place, and manner of operating marijuana businesses and to limit the number of marijuana establishments in their communities. A city or town could hold a local vote to determine whether to permit the selling of marijuana and marijuana products for consumption on the premises at commercial establishments.

The proceeds of retail sales of marijuana and marijuana products would be subject to the state sales tax and an additional excise tax of 3.75%. A city or town could impose a separate tax of up to 2%. Revenue received from the additional state excise tax or from license application fees and civil penalties for violations of this law would be deposited in a Marijuana Regulation Fund and would be used subject to appropriation for administration of the proposed law.

Marijuana-related activities authorized under this proposed law could not be a basis for adverse orders in child welfare cases absent clear and convincing evidence that such activities had created an unreasonable danger to the safety of a minor child.

The proposed law would not affect existing law regarding medical marijuana treatment centers or the operation of motor vehicles while under the influence. It would permit property owners to prohibit the use, sale, or production of marijuana on their premises (with an exception that landlords cannot prohibit consumption by tenants of marijuana by means other than by smoking); and would permit employers to

prohibit the consumption of marijuana by employees in the workplace. State and local governments could continue to restrict uses in public buildings or at or near schools. Supplying marijuana to persons under age 21 would be unlawful.

The proposed law would take effect on December 15, 2016.
A YES VOTE would allow persons 21 and older to possess, use, and transfer marijuana and products containing marijuana concentrate (including edible products) and to cultivate marijuana, all in limited amounts, and would provide for the regulation and taxation of commercial sale of marijuana and marijuana products.

	Prec. 1	Prec. 2	Prec. 3	Prec. 4	
Blanks	23	28	11	25	87
YES	1122	1108	906	1081	4217
NO	971	967	870	1295	4103
Total	**2116**	**2103**	**1787**	**2401**	**8407**

QUESTION 5

Shall the Town of Northbridge be allowed to assess an additional $650,000 in real estate and personal property taxes for the purposes of financing the purchase of a new Fire Department pumper truck and financing the restoration and repair of the Rockdale World War I Memorial at the Rockdale Common, located at the intersection of Providence Road and School Street, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2016?

	Prec. 1	Prec. 2	Prec. 3	Prec. 4	
Blanks	97	94	67	102	360
YES	1156	1106	1023	1361	4646
NO	863	903	697	938	3401
Total	**2116**	**2103**	**1787**	**2401**	**8407**

ACTIVE VOTERS: 10,233
INACTIVE VOTERS: 913
TOTAL NUMBER OF REGISTERED VOTERS: 11,146
VOTED 11/8/2016: 8,407
PERCENTAGE VOTED 5/17/2016: 75.4%

A TRUE COPY

ATTEST: Doreen A. Cedrone

Doreen A. Cedrone, Town Clerk