TOWN OF NORTHBRIDGE
STATE ELECTION - NOVEMBER 4, 2014

TOTAL VOTERS
5515

Precinct 1	Precinct 2	Precinct 3	Precinct 4
1397	1327	1194	1597

Total Vote
5515

	Prec. 1	Prec. 2	Prec.3	Prec. 4	Total Vote
SENATOR IN CONGRESS					
(vote for one)					
Blanks	80	66	62	95	303
Edward J. Markey	582	539	486	553	2160
Brian J. Herr	735	720	646	946	3047
All Others	0	2	0	3	5
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597	5515
GOVERNOR and LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR					
(vote for one)					
Blanks	29	36	18	15	98
Baker and Polito	850	858	745	1083	3536
Coakley and Kerrigan	432	388	378	413	1611
Falchuk and Jennings	46	31	29	57	163
Lively and Saunders	24	7	14	14	59
McCormick and Post	16	5	9	13	43
All Others	0	2	1	2	5
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597	5515
ATTORNEY GENERAL					
(vote for one)					
Blanks	85	78	65	100	328
Maura Healey	588	553	518	590	2249
John B. Miller	724	696	611	906	2937
All Others	0	0	0	1	1
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597	5515
SECRETARY OF STATE					
(vote for one)					
Blanks	72	84	64	100	320
William Francis Galvin	717	669	595	770	2751
David D'Arcangelo	556	539	500	681	2276
Daniel L. Factor	52	35	35	45	167
All Others	0	0	0	1	1
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597	5515
TREASURER					
(vote for one)					
Blanks	107	104	89	134	434
Deborah B. Goldberg	514	461	424	494	1893
Michael James Heffernan	726	723	648	913	3010
Ian T. Jackson	50	39	33	55	177
All Others	0	0	0	1	1
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597	5515
AUDITOR					
(vote for one)					
Blanks	140	145	110	161	556
Suzanne M. Bump	536	491	459	543	2029
Patricia S. Saint Aubin	681	659	595	849	2784
MK Merelice	40	32	30	43	145
All Others	0	0	0	1	1
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597	5515
REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS					
Second District					
(vote for one)					
Blanks	527	486	403	617	2033
James P. McGovern	860	827	779	964	3430
James W. Huggins	0	0	3	3	6
All Others	10	14	9	13	46
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597	5515

		COUNCILLOR					
		Seventh District					
		(vote for one)					
Blanks		433	445	386	472		1736
Jennie L. Caissie		959	881	803	1117		3760
All Others		5	1	5	8		19
Total		1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
		SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT					
		Worcester & Norfolk District (Precincts 1 & 3)					
		(vote for one)					
Blanks		45	XXX	33	XXX		78
Richard T Moore		615	XXX	488	XXX		1103
Ryan C. Fattman		737	XXX	673	XXX		1410
All Others		0	XXX	0	XXX		0
Total		1397	XXX	1194	XXX		2591
		SENATOR IN GENERAL COURT					
		Second Worcester District (Precincts 2 & 4)					
		(vote for one)					
Blanks		XXX	488	XXX	607		1095
Michael O Moore		XXX	829	XXX	977		1806
Ryan C. Fattman		XXX	5	XXX	5		10
All Others		XXX	5	XXX	8		13
Total		XXX	1327	XXX	1597		2924
		REPRESENTATIVE IN GENERAL COURT					
		Ninth Worcester District					
		(vote for one)					
Blanks		50	61	41	62		214
Martin Green		549	542	504	632		2227
David K. Muradian, Jr.		798	724	647	902		3071
All Others		0	0	2	1		3
Total		1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
		DISTRICT ATTORNEY					
		Middle District					
		(vote for one)					
Blanks		518	487	413	609		2027
Joseph D. Early, Jr.		876	836	777	985		3474
All Others		3	4	4	3		14
Total		1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
		REGISTER OF PROBATE					
		Worcester County					
		(vote for one)					
Blanks		95	112	66	115		388
Stephen G. Abraham		502	455	417	503		1877
Stephanie K. Fattman		800	760	711	978		3249
All Others		0	0	0	1		1
Total		1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - BELLINGHAM					
		(vote for one)					
Blanks		550	540	470	655		2215
Joseph M. Hall		843	787	724	941		3295
All Others		4	0	0	1		5
Total		1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - BLACKSTONE					
		(vote for one)					
Blanks		569	557	479	675		2280
Joseph A. Broderick		825	770	715	921		3231
All Others		3	0	0	1		4
Total		1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
		REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - DOUGLAS					
		(vote for one)					
Blanks		575	562	484	685		2306
John C. Lavin, III		820	765	710	911		3206
All Others		2	0	0	1		3
Total		1397	1327	1194	1597		5515

REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - GRAFTON						
(vote for one)						
Blanks	599	581	506	699		2385
Anthony M. Yitts	797	745	687	897		3126
All Others	1	1	1	1		4
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - HOPEDALE						
(vote for one)						
Blanks	1388	1321	1185	1589		5483
(Write in) Jonathan A. Brown	0	0	0	1		1
(Write in) John E. Campbell	0	1	0	0		1
(Write in) Mitchell Intinarelli	2	0	3	0		5
(Write in) All Others	7	5	6	7		25
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - MENDON						
(vote for one)						
Blanks	607	597	512	716		2432
Dennis P. Braun	790	730	682	881		3083
All Others	0	0	0	0		0
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - MILFORD						
(vote for one)						
Blanks	615	603	524	714		2456
Arthur E. Morin, Jr.	782	724	670	883		3059
All Others	0	0	0	0		0
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - MILLBURY						
(vote for one)						
Blanks	537	522	458	638		2155
Chester P. Hanratty, Jr.	558	537	469	636		2200
Maryann Yaghoobian	302	268	267	323		1160
All Others	0	0	0	0		0
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - MILLVILLE						
(vote for one)						
Blanks	632	619	534	735		2520
Gerald M. Finn	765	708	660	862		2995
All Others	0	0	0	0		0
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - NORTHBRIDGE						
(vote for one)						
Blanks	463	513	417	546		1939
Jeff T. Koopman	931	813	776	1048		3568
(Write in) Travis J. Cook	0	0	1	0		1
(Write in) Charles E. Thompson	0	0	0	1		1
(Write in) Michael A.Paiva	0	0	0	1		1
(Write in) Tyler J. Reynolds	1	0	0	0		1
(Write in) All Others	2	1	0	1		4
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - SUTTON						
(vote for one)						
Blanks	1390	1324	1189	1585		5488
(Write in) David M. Hall	0	0	0	1		1
(Write in) Julie H. Mitchell	2	0	0	3		5
(Write in) All Others	5	3	5	8		21
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - UPTON						
(vote for one)						
Blanks	641	625	535	739		2540
David R. Bartlett	756	702	659	858		2975
All Others	0	0	0	0		0
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597		5515
REGIONAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL COMMITTEE - UXBRIDGE						
(vote for one)						
Blanks	606	615	512	715		2448
James H. Ebbeling	791	712	682	881		3066
All Others	0	0	0	1		1
Total	1397	1327	1194	1597		5515

QUESTION 1: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION
Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

SUMMARY
This proposed law would eliminate the requirement that the state's gasoline tax, which was 24 cents per gallon as of September 2013, (1) be adjusted every year by the percentage change in the Consumer Price Index over the preceding year, but (2) not be adjusted below 21.5 cents per gallon.

A YES VOTE would eliminate the requirement that the state's gas tax be adjusted annually based on the Consumer Price Index.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws regarding the gas tax.

Blanks			89	86	61	53		289
Yes			761	771	694	984		3210
No			547	470	439	560		2016
Total			1397	1327	1194	1597		5515

QUESTION 2: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION
Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

SUMMARY
This proposed law would expand the state's beverage container deposit law, also known as the Bottle Bill, to require deposits on containers for all non-alcoholic non-carbonated drinks in liquid form intended for human consumption, except beverages primarily derived from dairy products, infant formula, and FDA approved medicines. The proposed law would not cover containers made of paper-based biodegradable material and aseptic multi-material packages such as juice boxes or pouches.

The proposed law would require the state Secretary of Energy and Environmental Affairs (EEA) to adjust the container deposit amount every five years to reflect (to the nearest whole cent) changes in the consumer price index, but the value could not be set below five cents.

The proposed law would increase the minimum handling fee that beverage distributors must pay dealers for each properly returned empty beverage container, which was 2¼ cents as of September 2013, to 3½ cents. It would also increase the minimum handling fee that bottlers must pay distributors and dealers for each properly returned empty reusable beverage container, which was 1 cent as of September 2013, to 3½ cents. The Secretary of EEA would review the fee amounts every five years and make appropriate adjustments to reflect changes in the consumer price index as well as changes in the costs incurred by redemption centers. The proposed law defines a redemption center as any business whose primary purpose is the redemption of beverage containers and that is not ancillary to any other business.

The proposed law would direct the Secretary of EEA to issue regulations allowing small dealers to seek exemptions from accepting empty deposit containers. The proposed law would define small dealer as any person or business, including the operator of a vending machine, who sells beverages in beverage containers to consumers, with a contiguous retail space of 3,000 square feet or less, excluding office and stock room space; and fewer than four locations under the same ownership in the Commonwealth. The proposed law would require that the regulations consider at least the health, safety, and convenience of the public, including the distribution of dealers and

The proposed law would set up a state Clean Environment Fund to receive certain unclaimed container deposits. The Fund would be used, subject to appropriation by the state Legislature, to support programs such as the proper management of solid waste, water resource protection, parkland, urban forestry, air quality and climate protection.

The proposed law would allow a dealer, distributor, redemption center or bottler to refuse to accept any beverage container that is not marked as being refundable in Massachusetts.

The proposed law would take effect on April 22, 2015.

A YES VOTE would expand the state's beverage container deposit law to require deposits on containers for all non-alcoholic, non-carbonated drinks with certain exceptions, increase the associated handling fees, and make other changes to the law.

A NO VOTE would make no change in the laws regarding beverage container deposits

Blanks			14	27	19	17		77
Yes			232	195	197	230		854
No			1151	1105	978	1350		4584
Total			1397	1327	1194	1597		5515

QUESTION 3: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION
Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

SUMMARY
This proposed law would (1) prohibit the Massachusetts Gaming Commission from issuing any license for a casino or other gaming establishment with table games and slot machines, or any license for a gaming establishment with slot machines; (2) prohibit any such casino or slots gaming under any such licenses that the Commission might have issued before the proposed law took effect; and (3) prohibit wagering on the simulcasting of live greyhound races.

The proposed law would change the definition of "illegal gaming" under Massachusetts law to include wagering on the simulcasting of live greyhound races, as well as table games and slot machines at Commission-licensed casinos, and slot machines at other Commission-licensed gaming establishments. This would make those types of gaming subject to existing state laws providing criminal penalties for, or otherwise regulating or prohibiting, activities involving illegal gaming.

The proposed law states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would prohibit casinos, any gaming establishment with slot machines, and wagering on simulcast greyhound races.

Blanks			21	30	27	16		94
Yes			435	368	383	537		1723
No			941	929	784	1044		3698
Total			1397	1327	1194	1597		5515

QUESTION 4: LAW PROPOSED BY INITIATIVE PETITION
Do you approve of a law summarized below, on which no vote was taken by the Senate or the House of Representatives on or before May 6, 2014?

SUMMARY
This proposed law would entitle employees in Massachusetts to earn and use sick time according to certain conditions.

Employees who work for employers having eleven or more employees could earn and use up to 40 hours of paid sick time per calendar year, while employees working for smaller employers could earn and use up to 40 hours of unpaid sick time per calendar year.

An employee could use earned sick time if required to miss work in order (1) to care for a physical or mental illness, injury or medical condition affecting the employee or the employee's child, spouse, parent, or parent of a spouse; (2) to attend routine medical appointments of the employee or the employee's child, spouse, parent, or parent of a spouse; or (3) to address the effects of domestic violence on the employee or the employee's dependent child. Employees would earn one hour of sick time for every 30 hours worked, and would begin accruing those hours on the date of hire or on July 1, 2015, whichever is later. Employees could begin to use earned sick time on the 90th day after hire.

The proposed law would cover both private and public employers, except that employees of a particular city or town would be covered only if, as required by the state constitution, the proposed law were made applicable by local or state legislative vote or by appropriation of sufficient funds to pay for the benefit. Earned paid sick time would be compensated at the same hourly rate paid to the employee when the sick time is used.

Employees could carry over up to 40 hours of unused sick time to the next calendar year, but could not use more than 40 hours in a calendar year. Employers would not have to pay employees for unused sick time at the end of their employment. If an employee missed work for a reason eligible for earned sick time, but agreed with the employer to work the same number of hours or shifts in the same or next pay period, the employee would not have to use earned sick time for the missed time, and the employer would not have to pay for that missed time. Employers would be prohibited from requiring such an employee to work additional hours to make up for missed time, or to find a replacement employee.

Employers could require certification of the need for sick time if an employee used sick time for more than 24 consecutively scheduled work hours. Employers could not delay the taking of or payment for earned sick time because they have not received the certification. Employees would have to make a good faith effort to notify the employer in advance if the need for earned sick time is foreseeableEmployers would be prohibited from interfering with or retaliating based on an employee's exercise of earned sick time rights, and from retaliating based on an employee's support of another employee's exercise of such rights.

QUESTION 4 (Continued)
The proposed law would not override employers' obligations under any contract or benefit plan with more generous provisions than those in the proposed law. Employers that have their own policies providing as much paid time off, usable for the same purposes and under the same conditions, as the proposed law would not be required to provide additional paid sick time.
The Attorney General would enforce the proposed law, using the same enforcement procedures applicable to other state wage laws, and employees could file suits in court to enforce their earned sick time rights. The Attorney General would have to prepare a multilingual notice regarding the right to earned sick time, and employers would be required to post the notice in a conspicuous location and to provide a copy to employees. The state Executive Office of Health and Human Services, in consultation with the Attorney General, would develop a multilingual outreach program to inform the public of the availability of earned sick time.

The proposed law would take effect on July 1, 2015, and states that if any of its parts were declared invalid, the other parts would stay in effect.

A YES VOTE would entitle employees in Massachusetts to earn and use sick time according to certain conditions.

Blanks			32	47	36	37		152
Yes			672	629	592	698		2591
No			693	651	566	862		2772
Total			1397	1327	1194	1597		5515

QUESTION 5:
Shall the Town of Northbridge be allowed to assess an additional $311,000 in real estate and personal property taxes for the purpose of funding the following capital purchases for the School Department: pick-up truck replacement; kitchen repairs at the Balmer School; air conditioner replacement for the Middle School auditorium; locker room HVAC unit replacement for the Middle School; building and grounds security cameras and key card/fob boxes at the High School, Middle School, Balmer School, and Northbridge Elementary School; and technology switch additions and replacements at the High School, Middle School, Balmer School, and Northbridge Elementary School, for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2014?

Blanks			57	64	49	52		222
Yes			587	564	603	779		2533
No			753	699	542	766		2760
Total			1397	1327	1194	1597		5515

QUESTION 6:
Shall the Town of Northbridge be allowed to assess an additional $300,000 in real estate and personal property taxes for the purpose of funding a road maintenance and repair program for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2014?

Blanks			31	50	37	36		154
Yes			687	621	626	858		2792
No			679	656	531	703		2569
Total			1397	1327	1194	1597		5515

A TRUE COPY

ATTEST: Doreen A. Cedrone

Doreen A. Cedrone, CMC/CMMC
Town Clerk